This Page Is Inserted by IFW Operations and is not a part of the Official Record

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images may include (but are not limited to):

- BLACK BORDERS
- TEXT CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
- FADED TEXT
- ILLEGIBLE TEXT
- SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
- COLORED PHOTOS
- BLACK OR VERY BLACK AND WHITE DARK PHOTOS
- GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning documents will not correct images, please do not report the images to the Image Problem Mailbox.

PL SEIVEDAPPlic	ation No.	Applicant(s)			
09/16		SCOTT ET AL.			
FEB 2 6 2004 Office Action Summary 0 2 2003 Exami		Art Unit			
Morrison & Fogretar (1) Michael		1632			
he MAILING DATE of this communication appears on		vith the correspondence a	ddress		
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In n after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the through the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply as	o event, however, may a	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered tim			
 Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of thi earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 June 20</u>	<u>03</u> .				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ This action	n is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>69-81</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from	consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			_		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>69-81</u> is/are rejected.		RS-L	<u>ال</u>		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		DOCKETED	367		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election	on requirement.	REMINDER:	11/28/03		
Application Papers		FINAL DUE DA	ATE: 2/28/04		
Application Papers 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. FINAL DUE DATE: 2/28/04					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b	o)⊡ objected to by	the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawin	•	•	•		
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)		disapproved by the Exam	iner.		
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to thi					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	•				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		0.140(.) (1) (0			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) All b) Some * c) None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 					
application from the International Bureau (F	PCT Rule 17.2(a))		ai Stage		
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priori	ty under 35 U.S.C	C. § 119(e) (to a provision	nal application).		
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisions 15)☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic prior					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview	w Summary (PTO-413) Paper I	\\a(a\)		

Art Unit: 1632

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 79-81 have been added. Claims 69-81 are pending and under consideration in the instant application. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action. Applicant's arguments filed 5-30-03, paper number 42, have been fully considered.

Specification

The first line of the specification needs updated to reflect that parent application 08/195874 is now US Patent 5,817,308.

The blanks on pg 16 must be filled in.

The title should be changed to reflect the claims are directed toward cells expressing fusion proteins of immunoglobulins.

Priority

The claim for domestic priority to 08/195874, now US Patent 5,817,308, is acknowledged.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The rejection of claim 75 under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention has been withdrawn because the term "transduction" has been deleted.

Art Unit: 1632

The rejection of claims 69-78 under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention has been withdrawn.

The rejection of claims 69-78 under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, scope rejection, has been withdrawn.

1. Claim 70, 72 and 74 remain rejected and claims 80 and 81 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The rejection of the phrase "a polypeptide containing... ...to which tolerance is desired to be induced" (claim 69) has been withdrawn because the phrase "to which tolerance is desired to be induced" has been deleted.

Claim 70 is indefinite as newly amended because it is not clear that the nucleic acid sequence in claim 69 was introduced into the cell. The phrase "wherein said nucleic acid sequence is a viral vector" would overcome this rejection.

Claim 72 is indefinite as newly amended because claim 69 is not directed toward a fusion protein and because the claim is dependent on two claims for two different things. Overall, the structure of the limitation is unclear. The phrase "wherein there are two or more copies of said nucleic acid sequence" would overcome this rejection.

The rejection of claim 74 has been withdrawn because the phrase "or portion thereof" has been deleted.

The phrase "the first framework region of said N-terminal variable region" (claim 74) lacks antecedent basis in parent claims 69 or 70. It is not clear "the first framework region" must occur in the "N-terminal variable region" in parent claims 70 or 69. What is a "framework region"?

The term "autoimmune antigen" (claim 80) is indefinite for reasons of record regarding autoantigen.

Claim 81 is indefinite. The term "allergan" cannot be found in the art. The term is not defined in the dictionary or in the specification.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The rejection of claim 69 under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Zanetti of record (US Patent 5,508,386, April 16, 1996) has been withdrawn because the claim now requires a non-tumor hematopoietic cell.

The rejection of claim 69 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Zambidis of record (Feb. 1, 1993, J. Cellular Biochem., Vol. 9, No. 17, Part B, page 251) has been withdrawn because the claim now requires a <u>non-tumor</u> hematopoietic cell.

The following is a new rejection under 102:

Application/Control Number: 09/160076 Page 5

Art Unit: 1632

2. Claims 69 and 76-81 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by McDonnell et al. (Cell, 1989, Vol. 57, pg 79-88).

McDonnell taught a transgenic mice whose genome comprised a transgene comprising bcl-2-Ig fusion protein. Splenocytes and thymocytes were isolated from the mice in saline and media. The splenocytes and thymocytes are non-tumor lymphoid cells and are equivalent to the composition claimed because they have the structure claimed. The bcl-2-Ig fusion protein is equivalent to the fusion protein required in the claim because it has a heavy chain immunoglobulin and bcl-2. The bcl-2 protein inherently has at least one epitope as claimed. The cells of McDonnell inherently "induces tolerance to an antigen" because it has the same structure as the cell claimed. The phrase "for introduction into an individual" does not bear patentable weight because they are intended uses and may not occur. These intended uses do not bear patentable weight because they do not alter the structure of the composition. The composition of McDonnell inherently meets the functional limitation of "wherein upon introduction to the individual said composition induces tolerance to the antigen in the individual" because it has the structure claimed. The cells are syngeneic to mice of other strains (claim 76). The splenocytes and thymocytes are "bone marrow cells" (claim 77) because they originated in the bone marrow. The splenocytes and thymocytes inherently have "B-cells" (claim 78) because B-cells are found in the spleen and thymus. The splenocytes and thymocytes inherently comprise "hematopoietic cells" (claim 81) because they comprise pluripotent cells capable of differentiation. Claims 82

Application/Control Number: 09/160076 Page 6

Art Unit: 1632

and 83 are included because the metes and bounds of the limitations cannot be determined (see 112/2nd); therefore, bcl-2 is an autoimmunogen and an allergan.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The rejection of claims 69-78 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chambers (Feb. 1992, PNAS, USA, Vol. 89, pages 1026-1030) in view of Zambidis (Feb. 1, 1993, J. Cellular Biochem., Vol. 9, No. 17, Part B, page 251) has been withdrawn. Zambidis taught a tumor cell for producing a fusion immunoglobulin *in vitro* while chambers taught cells for inducing an immune response use *in vivo*. Therefore, the one of ordinary skill in the art would not have been motivated to combine Chambers and Zambidis.

Double Patenting

3. Claim 75 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75 as being a substantial duplicate of claim 70. When two claims in an application are duplicates or else are so close in content that they both cover the same thing, despite a slight difference in wording, it is proper after allowing one claim to object to the other as being a substantial duplicate of the allowed claim. See MPEP § 706.03(k). Both claims require the nucleic acid is introduced into the cell via a viral vector.

Conclusion

No claim is allowed.

Art Unit: 1632

Inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael C. Wilson who can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 9:00 am to 5:30 pm at (703) 305-0120.

Questions of formal matters can be directed to the patent analyst, Dianiece Jacobs, who can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 9:00 am to 5:30 pm at (703) 305-3388.

Questions of a general nature relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1235.

If attempts to reach the examiner, patent analyst or Group receptionist are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Deborah Reynolds, can be reached on (703) 305-4051.

The official fax number for this Group is (703) 308-4242.

Michael C. Wilson

MICHAEL WILSON PRIMARY EXAMINER

Notice of References Cited			Application/0 09/160,076	Control No.	Applicant(s)/ Reexaminati SCOTT ET A	on	
			Examiner		Art Unit	D4-44	
			Michael C. V	Vilson	1632	Page 1 of 1	
				U.S. PATENT DOCUM	ENTS		
*		Document Number Country Code-Number-Kind Code	Date MM-YYYY		Name		Classification
	Α	US-			-		
	В	US-					
	С	US-		-		·	
	D	US-			,		
	E	US-					
	F	US-					
	G	US-					
	Н	US-					
	1	US-		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			
	J	US-					
	К	US-			, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
	L	US-					
	М	US-					
		<u> </u>	FO	REIGN PATENT DOC	UMENTS		· ·
*		Document Number Country Code-Number-Kind Code	Date MM-YYYY	Country	N	ame	Classification
	N						
	0						
	Р						-
	Q						
	R						
	s						
	Т						•
	r			NON-PATENT DOCU			
*	ļ	Include as applicable: Author, Title Date, Publisher, Edition or Volume, Pertinent Pages) McDonnell et al. Cell, April 7, 1989, Vol. 57. pg 79-88.)	
	U						
	V						
	w						
 							

*A copy of this reference is not being furnished with this Office action. (See MPEP § 707.05(a).)

Dates in MM-YYYY format are publication dates. Classifications may be US or foreign.

Х



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1490 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/160,076	09/24/1998	RECENTER	308072000110	5918
MORRISON	& FOERSTER LLP	SEP n 2 2003	EXAMI	INER
755 PAGE MILL RD PALO ALTO, CA 94304-1018	Marrison & Foerster, LLP Palo Alto	WILSON, MICHAEL C		
		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1632	44
	,		DATE MAILED: 08/28/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



Atty Docket No.: 308072000110

Inventor: David W. SCOTT and Elias T. ZAMBIDIS

Application No.: 09/160,076 Filing Date: September 24, 1998

TOLEROGENIC FUSION PROTEINS OF IMMUNOGLOBULINS AND METHODS FOR INDUCING AND MAINTAINING TOLERANCE

Documents Filed:

Transmittal (1 page)

Amendment (18 pages)

RECEIVED

Copy of ATCC deposit slip (1 page)DEC 0 5 2003

Morrison & Foerster, LLP Palo Alto



Via: Express Mail: Certificate No. EV 332778214 US

Sender's Initials: CMC9/dml2

Date: November 24, 2003

pa-841648



COPY

Customer Cop!

Label 11-F June 20X



f			LINITED STATES		
ORIGIN (POSTAL US	E ONLY)		UNITED STATES POS	T∆L SERVICE ®	Post Office To Addresse
PO ZIP Code O 4 3 0 6 Date in I 2 4 0 3 Mo. Day Year Time in 7 AM	Dey of Delivery Next Second 12 Noon 3 PM Military 2nd Dey 3rd Dey Int'l Alpha Country Code Acceptance Clerk Initials	Flat Rate Envelope Postage Return Receipt Fee COD Fee Insurance Fee Total Postage & Fees \$ 3.65	DELIVERY (POST Delivery Attempt Mo. Day Delivery Attempt Mo. Day Delivery Date	AL USE ONLY) Time AM PM Time AM PM Time AM PM	Employee Signature Employee Signature Employee Signature USF Order Institute Insurance as political residual distriction determines as political residual residual residual residual residual residual residual residual residual r
Express Mail Corporate Acct. No.	X940848		Federal Agency Acct. No. or	Francisco Inter-	Costomer Signature (1997)
FROM: PLEASE PRINTI F ACRRISCIN & 755 PAGE M. PALO ALTO	C7 111 80	3 94304-1018	TO: PLEASE PRINT) MAIL STU CUMMISSI PO 30X 1 ALEXANDR	Mary Table 2	AMENDMENT PAYENTS VA 22313-1450
56 30807 20	000110 8695				
L					
PRESS HARD. You are making 3 copies.	FOR PICKUP OR 1	LBVCKING CVI 1 00	L		% ∴

	ZU 454575EE V3	Customer Copy Label 11-F June 2002 EXPRESS MAIL UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE® Post Office To Addressee
	ORIGIN (POSTAL USE ONLY)	
	PO ZIP Code Day of Delivery Flat Rate Envelope O 4 3 C Next Second Date In Postage	DELIVERY (POSTAL USE ONLY) Delivery Attempt Time Employee Signature Mo. Day Delivery Attempt Time Employee Signature Time Employee Signature
	Mo. Day	Mo. Day
***************	Weight Int'l Alpha Country Code COD Fee Insurance Fee ibs. ozs. No Delivery Acceptance Clerk Initiats Total Postage & Fees	WANTER OF BLOMATURE Profession Control in accordance a resultance and the profession of the profession
त्री है	□ Weekend □ Holiday	Foderal Agency Acct. No. or Postal Service Acct. No.
	FROM: (PLEASE PRINT) PHONE (050) 813-5739	TO: (PLEASE PRINT) PHONE ()
eringskalagigere greek i lege er 1888spoolsteere gele een een	MORRISON & FOERSTER 755 PAGE MILL RD PALO ALTU CA 94304-1018	COMMISSIONER FOR PRIENTS PO BOX 1450 ALEXANDRIA VA 22313-1450
	549d2000300 4277 56	** ***********************************
	PRESS HARD. You are making 3 copies. FOR PICKUP OR TRACKING CALL 1-80	0-222-1811 www.usps.com \= 135



Paid by:

REVISED AMENDME' T PRACTICE: 37 CFR 1.121 CHANGED COMPLIANCE IS MAINDATORY - Effective Date: July 30, 2003

I amendments filed on or after the effective date noted above must comply with revised 37 CFR 1.121. See Final le: Changes To Implement Electronic Maintenance of Official Patent Application Records (68 Fed. Reg. 611 (June 30, 2003), posted on the Office's website at: http://www.uspto.gov/web/patents/ifw/ th related information. The amendment practice set forth in revised 37 CFR 1.121, and described below, replaces e voluntary revised amendment format available to applicants since February 2003. NOTE: STRICT OMPLIANCE WITH THE REVISED 37 CFR 1.121 IS REQUIRED AS OF THE EFFECTIVE DATE (July , 2003). The Office will notify applicants of amendments that are not accepted because they do not comply with vised 37 CFR 1.121 via a Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment. See MPEP 714.03 (Rev. 1, Feb. 2003). The nonimpliant section(s) will have to be corrected and the entire corrected section(s) resubmitted within a set period.

old underlined italic font has been used below to highlight the major differences between the revised 37 CFR 121 and the voluntary revised amendment format that applicants could use since February, 2003. ote: The amendment practice for reissues and reexamination proceedings, except for drawings, has not changed.

REVISED AMENDMENT PRACTICE

Begin each section of an amendment document on a separate sheet:

ach section of an amendment document (e.g., Specification Amendments, Claim Amendments, Drawing mendments, and Remarks) must begin on a separate sheet. Starting each separate section on a new page will cilitate the process of separately indexing and scanning each section of an amendment document for placement in an rage file wrapper.

Two versions of amended part(s) no longer required:

7 CFR 1.121 has been revised to no longer require two versions (a clean version and a marked up version) of ach replacement paragraph or section, or amended claim. Note, however, the requirements for a clean ersion and a marked up version for substitute specifications under 37 CFR 1.125 have been retained.

) Amendments to the claims:

ach amendment document that includes a change to an existing claim, cancellation of a claim or submission of a new laim, must include a complete listing of all claims in the application. After each claim number in the listing, the tatus must be indicated in a parenthetical expression, and the text of each pending claim (with markings to show urrent changes) must be presented. The claims in the listing will replace all prior claims in the application.

- (1) The current status of all of the claims in the application, including any previously canceled, not entered or withdrawn claims, must be given in a parenthetical expression following the claim number using only one of the following seven status identifiers: (original), (currently amended), (canceled), (withdrawn), (new), or the second and the following seven status identifiers: (original), (currently amended), (canceled), (withdrawn), (new), or the second and (previously presented) and (not entered). The text of all pending claims, including withdrawn claims, must be submitted each time any claim is amended. Canceled and not entered claims must be indicated by only the claim number and status, without presenting the text of the claims, it is not being currently amended must be presented in the claim, listing with markings to indicate with the claim of the claim is the presented in the claim.
- the changes that have been made relative to the immediate prior version. The changes in any amended claim, and the design of the langes in any amended claim. must be shown by underlining (for added matter) or strikethrough (for deleted matter) with 2 exceptions. (1) for deletion of five characters or fewer, double brackets may be used (e.g. [[eroor]]); and (2) if strikethrough cannot be easily perceived (e.g., deletion of the number "1" or certain punctuation marks). double brackets must be used (e.g., [[4]]). As an alternative to using double brackets, however, extra portions of text may be included before and after text being deleted, all in strikethrough, followed by including and underlining the extra text with the desired change (e.g., number 1 as number 14 as). An accompanying clean version is not required and should not be presented. Only claims of the status "currently, amended," and "withdrawn" that are being amended, may include markings.
- (3) The text of pending claims not being currently amended. including withdrawn claims, must be presented in the claim listing in clean version, i.e., without any markings. Any claim text presented in clean version will constitute an assertion that it has not been changed relative to the immediate prior version except to omit markings that may have been present in the immediate prior version of the claims